

ADAMS COUNTY

2014 - 2018 Locally Developed, Coordinated Public Transit - Human Services Transportation Plan

Facilitated By:
North Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission

Adams County 2014 - 2018 Public Transit-Human Services Coordinated Transportation Plan

prepared for:

Adams County

and

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

by:

North Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission

August 22, 2013

This plan was prepared for Adams County at the request and under the direction of the Wisconsin Department of Transportation by the North Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (NCWRPC). For more information, contact:

NORTH CENTRAL WISCONSIN REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION 210 MCCLELLAN STREET, SUITE 210 WAUSAU, WI 54403



Telephone: 715-849-5510 Fax: 715-849-5110 email: staff@ncwrpc.org

www.ncwrpc.org

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Overview and Purpose				•	•	3
Federal Requirements . Application to Wisconsin .						3 4
Outline of Coordination Planning Proces	s					4
Overview of Planning Meeting to Develo	p Coor	dinatio	n Plan			5
Meeting Format Meeting Invitation and Participant Keeper of the Plan Summary of Participant Review	List					 5 6 6 7
Analysis of Service Gaps and Needs						7
Assessment of Existing Service Demographic Information . Identification of Gaps and Needs					· ·	7 7 7
Strategies to Address Identified Caps ar	nd Need	ds				8
Updating / Amending the Coordination P	lan'					9
Approval of 2014-18 Adams County Trai	nsporta	tion Co	oordina	tion Pla	an	9
Appendix A – Meeting Documentation Appendix B – Meeting Invitation List Appendix C – Meeting Evaluation Forms Appendix D – Adams County Provider In Appendix E – Demographic Information		y				



OVERVIEW AND PURPOSE

Federal transit law requires that any projects selected for funding under the Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities (formerly titled Elderly and Disabled Capital Assistance Program) must be derived from a "locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan". This requirement was implemented as part of the SAFETEA-LU legislation and the requirement continues under the new transportation legislation, MAP-21 (Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century). The purpose of the coordinated planning process is to have stakeholder involvement in the assessment of elderly and disabled transportation, and to provide strategies and goals to improve those transportation alternatives. These coordinated plans were last completed in 2008 and are due to be updated in 2013.

Under MAP-21, the Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC/WETAP) and New Freedom programs were repealed and eligible projects may be funded under either the expanded 5310 program (for New Freedom type projects) or the 5311 program (for JARC/WETAP type projects). Only those projects eligible to be funded under the 5310 program need to be included as part of the coordinated planning process. This would include the "traditional" 5310 vehicle purchase requests, and also the New Freedom-type projects for mobility management or other capital projects, or for operating assistance projects such as volunteer driver programs or voucher programs.

Development of the plan includes gathering demographic information, documenting the existing transportation services for the plan area, holding a public meeting to discuss elderly and disabled transportation services, and development of strategies for improving those services over the next five years. Plans may be developed on an individual county basis, a multi-county basis, or a region-wide basis. The planning process must be complete and the final report must be submitted prior to December 20, 2013 and will be for grant years 2014 - 2018.

Federal Requirements

FTA guidelines require a locally developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan that consists of, at a minimum:

- an assessment that identifies public, private, and non-profit entities that currently provide transportation services to persons with disabilities, older adults, and people with low incomes, and the availability of those services;
- an assessment of transportation needs for persons with disabilities, older adults, and persons with low incomes, and gaps in service; this assessment may be based on the experiences and perceptions of the planning partners or on more sophisticated data collection efforts;

- strategies activities and/or projects to address the identified gaps between current services and needs, as well as opportunities to improve efficiencies in service delivery; and
- priorities for implementation based on resources, time, and feasibility for implementing specific strategies/activities identified.

Recipients of 5310 funding must certify that projects selected for funding were derived from a coordinated plan, and the plan was developed through a process that included members of the public, including persons with disabilities.

Application to Wisconsin

Wisconsin's Specialized Transportation Assistance for Counties or "85.21" program application requires that 85.21 projects be identified in one of the strategies of the coordinated plan. WisDOT has determined that since these are county projects and the basis for the county elderly and disabled services, these projects should be referenced in the county's coordinated plan.

The purpose of this plan document is to achieve the above objectives by satisfying WisDOT minimum reporting-requirements as identified in the 2013 Locally Developed Transportation Coordination Plans Toolkit published online by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation. The Toolkit can be reviewed at http://www.dot.wisconsin.gov/localgov/transit/toolkit.htm.

OUTLINE OF COORDINATION PLANNING PROCESS

Based on guidance from WisDOT and its experience with development of the 2008 coordination plans, the NCWRPC developed a planning process for the 2013 transportation coordination plans as outlined below:

- I. Plan for Planning
 - A. WisDOT MPO/RPC Planning Conference Briefing
 - B. WisDOT RPC Teleconference/Email Correspondence
 - C. WisDOT 2013 Locally Developed Transportation Coordination Plans Toolkit
 - D. NCWRPC Planning Process Established
- II. County Contact
 - A. WisDOT Email to Key County Officials
 - B. NCWRPC Contact with 2008 "Plan Keeper"
 - 1. Confirm County or Sub-region Level for Plan
 - 2. Date, Time and Location Established
- III. Meeting Participant Invitation List Development
 - A. County Review and Update of 2008 Stakeholder List

- B. County to Identify/Invite Users and Provide Transportation
- IV. Notification of Planning Meeting
 - A. Invitations Distributed to Stakeholder List
 - B. Flyer Provided to County for Posting and Distribution
 - C. Notice Placed in Local Newspaper
- V. Public / Stakeholder Options for Participation / Comment
 - A. Email / U.S. Mail
 - B. Meeting Attendance
- VI. Planning Meeting
 - A. Welcome and Introductions
 - B. Review Background and Purpose of Meeting
 - 1. Coordinated Planning Requirements
 - 2. Map-21 Program Changes
 - C. Identify Needs and Gaps
 - 1. Review Inventory of Services
 - 2. Review Demographic Data
 - 3. Review 2008 Coordinated Plan
 - 4. Brainstorm Needs and Gaps
 - D. Identify Strategies and Actions to Address Needs and Gaps
 - E. Prioritize Strategies and Actions
 - F. Plan Approval
 - G. Wrap-up
 - 1. Confirm "Keeper of the Plan" Designation
 - 2. Meeting Evaluations
- VII. Report Drafting
 - A. NCWRPC Draft Report
 - B. County Review
 - C. Submission of Final to WisDOT

OVERVIEW OF PLANNING MEETING TO DEVELOP COORDINATION PLAN

Meeting Format

On August 22, 2013, Adams County transportation stakeholders met at the Adams County Courthouse to build their locally developed coordination plan. Meeting documentation is included in APPENDIX A. Approximately 10 transportation stakeholders attended this meeting, including representatives of public, private and non-profit transportation and human services providers and users including seniors and individuals with disabilities. Participants were asked to sign-in and given handouts including an agenda, meeting evaluation form, copies of MAP-21 background material, county transportation services inventory, county demographic information, and the needs & gaps and coordination strategies sections of the county 2008 plan.

The NCWRPC facilitated this session, presenting background material and guiding the group through the agenda. Highlights of the background provided by the NCWRPC include an overview of the locally developed plan requirements and grant funding programs. The Internet link to WisDOT's Coordination Plans Toolkit was provided to give participants additional information and resources on transportation coordination planning.

The format of the meeting centered around informal discussion and general consensus. The group brainstormed transportation service needs & gaps and strategies & actions to address the identified needs or gaps. The final list of strategies was prioritized by the group through weighted voting using color-coded dots. Refer to the sections titled Service Gaps and Needs & Strategies to Address Transportation Needs and Gaps in Adams County, below, for the outcomes of this session.

Meeting Invitation and Participant Lists

The stakeholder invitation list for the August 22 meeting included 22 individuals, see APPENDIX B. Approximately 10 people attended the planning meeting as follows:

Adams County 2013 Coordinated Transportation Plan Participant List

<u>Name</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Role</u>
Gail Schultz	Faith in Action	Service Provider
Paul Pisellini	County Board / Commission On Aging	Elected Official
John DeNasha	Midstate Independent Living Consultants	Disabled Client Services Coordinator
Darcie Beckman		Citizen
Robin Skala	Central WI Community Action Counsel	Mobility Manager
Renne Pinson	First Class Transportation/Taxi	Service Provider
Lori Djumadi	County Board / Health & Human Services	Elected Official
Donna Richards	ADRC - Adams County	Service Provider

Central WI Community Action Service Provider

Service Provider

Keeper of the Plan

Craig Gaetzke

Donna Tarcany

The Aging and Disability Resource Center - Adams County will be the designated keeper of the plan. Donna Richards, Manager of the ADRC - Adams County, will be the primary staff contact.

Counsel FAAC

Summary of Participant Review

The plan meeting participants were given the opportunity to complete an evaluation form rating the process, meeting, and implementation strategies. Most responses indicate a positive agreement regarding the process and the County's status. Refer to APPENDIX C for copies of the completed participant evaluation forms.

ANALYSIS OF SERVICE GAPS AND NEEDS

Assessment of Existing Service

An inventory of what transportation services are currently available in Adams County was compiled in the APPENDIX D. There are several transportation services available, however, geographic and eligibility restrictions limit this service. A general assessment of the inventory data indicates the following:

- Evening and weekend services are limited,
- Employment needs are underserved, and
- More rural, inter-city and across-county services are needed.

Demographic Information

The NCWRPC provided demographic information in the form of countywide maps showing density of overall population and for target populations including seniors and individuals with disabilities, refer to APPENDIX E. This information is useful in assisting with defining gaps and needs.

<u>Identification of Gaps and Needs</u>

Based on their experience and perceptions, meeting participants identified the following gaps and needs in the current transportation system within Adams County:

- Transportation issues always seem to be part of providing services to citizens.
- Same day discharge (from medical facility / hospital) without transportation options (esp. wheelchair dependent).
- MA brokerage not working for people.
- Serving remote areas of the county: cost, etc.
- Transportation to work.
- Uncertainty regarding future of Ride-to-Work programs.

- Insurance issues.
- Finding and keeping qualified volunteers need incentives.
- Funding limitations.
- Communicating needs to elected officials.
- Restrictions on use of vehicles based on funding sources.

STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS IDENTIFIED GAPS AND NEEDS

The following strategies establish the framework for a five-year work program from 2014 through 2018. The listed strategies and actions were generated to address the identified gaps between current services and needs, as well as opportunities to improve efficiencies in service delivery.

The strategies are ranked by scores assigned by stakeholder meeting participant voting based on resources from multiple program sources, time, and feasibility for implementing the strategies or actions identified.

Some of the strategies listed here ultimately may be not be implemented within the five-year time timeframe due to changing conditions (political, fiscal, etc.). Uncompleted strategies and actions should be rolled over to the next five-year plan as appropriate.

Adams County 5-year Transportation Coordination Strategies, 2014 - 2018

Priority Rank Score

- 1. 16 Explore new county models for rural services. (Examples include Bay Area Rural Transit and Menominee Rural Transit.)
- 2. 10 Look at ability to share / coordinate resources with adjacent counties.
- 3. 9 Maintain existing services through support of program operations (inc. director/transportation coordinator position(s), driver salaries, volunteer reimbursements, equipment, supplies and training), maintenance, repair and scheduled replacement of vehicle fleet as appropriate.

Actions:

- Continue to make use of 85.21 Grants to maintain and expand the level of transportation service within the County.
- Continue to apply for 53.10 Capital Grants to maintain and expand the County's vehicle fleet.

- 3. 9 Promote available transportation services and provide education on how to access and use the services ("Public Transportation 101").
- 5. 8 Continue to work to share vehicles across departments, agencies and programs to increase use of vehicle fleet.
- 6. 2 Maintain volunteer driver program and coordinator.

Actions:

- Utilize 85.21 Grants to expand and maintain the volunteer driver program within the County.
- 7 0 Survey townships to identify their issues / problems.
- 7 0 Develop connections between transportation programs and the Promise Neighborhood Program.

UPDATING / AMENDING THE COORDINATION PLAN

The coordination plan establishes the framework for a five-year work program. However, should a strategy or project be identified that was not foreseen at the time of plan development, the plan can be amended through some form of stakeholder consensus process. The plan should be regularly reviewed and updated if major changes in any provisions of the plan are identified. At a minimum, the plan is required to be updated every five years.

<u>APPROVAL OF 2014 - 2018 ADAMS COUNTY TRANSPORTATION</u> COORDINATION PLAN

After the identified strategies and actions were reviewed by the planning group and consensus was reached that their work was complete, the NCWRPC meeting facilitator entertained a motion on the question of approving the established five-year strategy and action plan:

On a motion by Paul Pisellini, seconded by Lori Djumadi, the 2014 - 2018 Adams County Locally Developed, Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan was approved with all in favor.



APPENDIX A

Meeting Documentation

NCWRPC - Adams County Coordinated Public Transit - Human Services Transportation Plan Development Meeting - 08/22/13

- I allopoitati		
NAME	REPRESENTING	ROLE (Service Provider, User, etc.)
Gail Schultz	Faith in Action	Service Provider
Paul Pisellini	County Board	KXHYS
John DeNasha	Midstate Independent Living Consultants	Gervice Rouder
	TIMES-REPORTER	
Tobo SKAHA		
Renne Pinson	First Class Transpo + TAXI	Service Provider
Lori J. Djumadi	FIRST CLASS Transport + TAXI AJC Brand - AJA HS Committee Chair Home Town Brand	
Donna Richardi	ADRC - Adams County	ADRC Manage
CRAIG GAETZKE	Cent. Wis. Community Action Council	carloan pgm administratur
DUNNATURORY	_	Service Vouder
		·

ADAMS COUNTY

2013 LOCALLY DEVELOPED COORDINATED PUBLIC TRANSIT-HUMAN SERVICES TRANSPORTATION PLAN MEETING

AUGUST 22, 2013

AGENDA

- I. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS
- II. PURPOSE OF MEETING and BACKGROUND
- III. IDENTIFICATION OF SERVICE NEEDS AND GAPS
 - A. Review of Demographic Data
 - B. Review of Service Inventory
- IV. IDENTIFICATION AND PRIORITIZATION* OF STRATEGIES AND ACTIONS TO ADDRESS NEEDS AND GAPS
 - * Based on consideration of resources, time and feasibility.
- V. WRAP-UP
 - A. Plan Approval
 - B. Meeting Evaluation

For more information and resources on Locally Developed Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Planning visit:

http://www.dot.wisconsin.gov/localgov/coordination/index.htm

NORTH CENTRAL WISCONSIN **REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION**

210 McClellan Street, Suite 210, Wausau, Wisconsin 54403

Telephone: (715) 849-5510 Fax: (715) 849-5110 Web Page: www.ncwrpc.org Email: staff@ncwrpc.org



SERVING ADAMS, FOREST, JUNEAU, LANGLADE, LINCOLN, MARATHON, ONEIDA, PORTAGE, VILAS AND WOOD COUNTIES

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

DATE:

July 29, 2013

TO:

Parties with interest in Human Services Transportation in Adams County

FROM:

Darryl L. Landeau, AICP

RE:

Invitation to Meeting

NOTICE OF HUMAN SERVICES TRANSPORTATION MEETING

Please attend...

DATE:

August 22, 2013

TIME:

10:30 AM to 12:30 PM

LOCATION: Community Center Rm 465B 569 N. Cedar St., Adams WI

A county meeting is scheduled for stakeholders in public transit / human services transportation coordination for Adams County on Thursday, August 22 beginning at 10:30 A.M. The meeting will take place at the Community Center in Room 465B, 569 N. Cedar Street in Adams. This meeting will include an assessment of human services transportation needs and gaps within Adams County and identification of strategies to address these issues with emphasis on improving service coordination. Written comments may be submitted to: NCWRPC, 210 McClellan St. Ste. 210, Wausau WI 54403 or staff@ncwrpc.org.

If you have questions regarding this meeting, please contact me at dlandeau@ncwrpc.org or 715-849-5510 extension 308. If you need transportation assistance to this meeting or other accommodations, please contact the Adams County Aging & Disability Resource Center at 608-339-4324.

BACKGROUND ON MEETING

The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21rst Century (MAP-21), federal surface transportation program, requires applicants for the Elderly and Disabled Transportation Program (5310) grants, including the former "New Freedom" type projects as well as state 85.21 projects must be part of a "locally-developed, coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan." This plan is required to be developed through a process that includes representatives of public, private, and non-profit transportation services, human services providers and the general public.

To maintain local eligibility for these grants, the Wisconsin Department of Transportation has developed a county meeting process to comply with MAP-21 requirements. Regional Planning Commission (RPC) planners are coordinating and conducting these meetings statewide on behalf of WisDOT and the counties as independent and objective entities. Your participation is critical for the development of a qualifying plan that will effectively serve Adams County.

C:\DARRYL\XREGION\TRANSPORTATION\COUNTYTRANSIT\COORDINATED2013\INVITATIONS2013\ADAMS INVITEL 2013.DOC

ADAMS COUNTY ELDERLY & DISABLED TRANSPORTATION PLANNING MEETING



PLEASE ATTEND...

A county meeting will be held to assess transportation programs for the elderly and disabled and develop plans to improve transportation services for those in need. The meeting will provide the basis for Adams County's Coordinated Public Transit - Human Services Transportation Plan as required under federal and state regulations. The meeting will be facilitated by the North Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission on behalf of the Wisconsin Department of Transportation and Adams County.

DATE:

August 22, 2013

TIME:

10:30 AM

LOCATION: Community Center

Room 465B

569 N. Cedar Street, Adams

For transportation assistance or other accommodations, please contact the County Aging & Disability Resource Center at (608) 339-4324. Written comments may be submitted to: NCWRPC, 210 McClellan St. Ste. 210, Wausau WI 54403 or staff@ncwrpc.org.

For information about the meeting contact NCWRPC at 715-849-5510 or email staff@ncwrpc.org.

STATE OF WISCONSIN)

SS.

ADAMS COUNTY

NOTICE OF ELDERLY AND DISABLED TRANSPORTATION PUBLIC MEETING

A county meeting will be held on Thursday, August 22 beginning at 10:30 AM at the Community Center Room 465B, 569 N. Cedar Street, Adams to assess transportation programs for the elderly and disabled and develop plans to improve transportation services for those in need. The meeting will provide the basis for Adams County's Coordinated Public Transit - Human Services Transportation Plan as required under federal and state regulations.

The North Central Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (NCWRPC) is coordinating the meeting on behalf of WisDOT and the County. Those persons unable to attend the meeting and would like to submit comments in advance may send them to: NCWRPC, 210 McClellan St. Ste. 210, Wausau WI 54403 or email to staff@ncwrpc.org.

Seniors or persons with disabilities who would like to attend the meeting and require a ride or other accommodations should contact the Aging & Disability Resource Center at 608-339-4324. The meeting location is accessible.

Publish: 8/7/13 WNAXLP



(SEAL)

NANCY PRICE, being duly sv	vorn,
doth depose and say that he (she) is an authorized represent	
of THE ADAMS-FRIENDSHIP TIMES-REPORTER, a legal n	
paper of general circulation, published in the Village of Friend	ship,
Adams County, Wisconsin, and that an advertisement of which	h the
annexed is a true copy, taken from said paper, was publi	shed
therein on	
Aug 7, 2013	
(10) N	
Signed <u>Manager</u> (Subscribed and sworn to before me this(
manager	Title)
Subscribed and sworn to before me thisd	ay of
august, 2013.	
$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}})$	//
Linda Laskou	isk
Notary Public, Adams County, Wisconsin	
My Commission expires \mathcal{M}/ω 22, 20 /	5
No. Lines No. Times _/ Publication Fees \$ 23	<u>'.47</u>
Affidavit	.00
Total \$ 24	

APPENDIX B

Meeting Invitation List

Adams Co. ADRC Donna Richards, Director 569 N. Cedar St. Ste 4 Adams, WI 53910

Trena Larson Adams Co. Admin. Coordinator P.O. Box 102 Friendship, WI 53934

Carrie Porter GWAAR 1414 MacArthur Rd. Suite A Madison, WI 53704

First Class Transportation PO Box 764 Friendship, WI 53934-0764

Div. of Vocational Rehab. Mid-State Technical College 401 North Main Street Adams, WI 53910

Diane Osborn Long Term Support Manager 108 East North Street Friendship, WI 53934

Abby Vans W5621 Todd Rd Neillsville, WI 54456

Lori Djumadi HHS Board Chair 1538 Kingswood Trail Nekoosa, WI 54457 Paul Pisellini 361 Yeoman Ct Nekoosa, WI 54457

Central WI CAC, Inc. Craig Gaetzke P.O. Box 430 Wisconsin Dells, WI 53965

Adams Co. Veterans Services David Guerin Box 474, 108 E. North St. Friendship, WI 53934

R & J Medical Transportation 401 W. Lake Street Friendship, WI 53934

Midstate Independent Living Consultants, Inc. 3262 Church Street, Suite 1 Stevens Point, WI 54481

Diane Cable Health and Human Service Director 108 East North Street Friendship, WI 53934

Patty Hammes, Area Admin. DHFS/DES S. Reg'l Office PO Box 7850 Madison, WI 53707-7850 Al Sebastiani Adams County Board Chair PO Box 114 Oxford, WI 53952

Central WI CAC Fred Hebert, Exec. Dir. PO Box 430 Wisconsin Dells, WI 53965

WI Job Center -Adams County 401 N. Main St. Adams, WI 53910

Linda Larson-Schlitz DWD Disability Navigator 364 Grand Avenue Wausau, WI 54403

Robin Skala, Mobility Manager Central WI CAC Inc PO Box 430 Wisconsin Dells, WI 53965

Cindy Flynn Economic Development Director P.O. Box 236 Friendship, WI 53934

APPENDIX C

Meeting Evaluation Forms



County/Region: Adams / Anns &	windship	,				
Facilitator(s):	1000					
Instructions: For each item below, please	circle the	numbe	r/resnon	se th	at heet	
expresses your opinion.		Hambe	тезроп	130 111	at best	
	Strongly Agree		Agree		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
General Meeting QuestionsThe information covered in the group discussions, examples and explanations	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
was understandable. 2. The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
services transportation coordination. 3. Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.	1	$\binom{2}{2}$	3	4	5	6
The county/region's prioritized action plan is comprehensive and realistic.	1	2	3	4	5	6
The county/region has a working coordination team.	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
6. The 2008 Coordination plan has been implemented.	1	2	<u>(3)</u>	4	5	6
7. Developing the prioritized action plan was meaningful and valuable.	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
8. I feel the coordination process in the county/region will be improved based on the assessment, action plan and implementation strategies. Facilitator Questions	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
Facilitator was knowledgeable about the meeting process.	0	2	3	4	5	6
The information was presented in a clear, logical format.	1	2	3	4	5	6
10. The time allotted for the meeting was:	too r	nuch	about r	ight	not enoug	h
11. List three key points/issues presented during from flow to the less created during Show VChills created by the less created during the last content you for the last co	Lan to	CA Somer f	705	, F	Inances	

13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan strategies? If yes, indicate your availability.

14. Other comments.

County/Region:	Adams
Date:	8-27-2013
Facilitator(s):	Darryl Landeau

<u>Instructions</u>: For each item below, please circle the number/response that best expresses your opinion.

		Strongly Agree		Agree		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
	General Meeting Questions		\wedge			-	
1.	The information covered in the group	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
	discussions, examples and explanations						
2.	was understandable.	1	(5)	3	4	5	c
۷.	The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
	services transportation coordination.		_				
3.	Participants at the meeting were from a	1	$\binom{2}{2}$	3	4	5	6
	broad stakeholder group.	(_	•	_	· ·
4.	The county/region's prioritized action plan	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
	is comprehensive and realistic.						
5.	The county/region has a working	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
6	coordination team.	4	0	(2)		-	0
6.	The 2008 Coordination plan has been implemented.	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
7.	Developing the prioritized action plan was	1	2	3	4	5	6
• •	meaningful and valuable.	•	_	J	7	9	U
8.	I feel the coordination process in the	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
	county/region will be improved based on		\bigcirc				
	the assessment, action plan and						
	implementation strategies.						
_	Facilitator Questions	4	6	•		_	
9.	Facilitator was knowledgeable about the	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
10.	meeting process. The information was presented in a clear,	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
10.	logical format.	'	(2)	3	4	3	O
40	The time elletted for the meeting was:	t oo -	much	(abaut :	iaht)	nat anavel	
10). The time allotted for the meeting was:	100 1	much	(about r	ignt /	not enough	1

11. List three key points/issues presented during the meeting that were the most valuable or useful.

12. List any information or meeting content you felt was omitted or needed further clarification.

- 13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan strategies? If yes, indicate your availability.
- 14. Other comments.

County/Region:	A dams
Date:	8-22-2013
Facilitator(s):	D. Landeau

<u>Instructions</u>: For each item below, please circle the number/response that best expresses your opinion.

		Strongly Agree		Agree		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
	General Meeting Questions	7.9.55		, (g. 00			141011
1.	The information covered in the group	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
	discussions, examples and explanations						
_	was understandable.						
2.	The meeting provided a good forum for	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
	communication about public/human						
3.	services transportation coordination.		2	3	4	F	
٥.	Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.		2	3	4	5	6
4.	The county/region's prioritized action plan	(13)	2	3	4	5	6
	is comprehensive and realistic.		4	U	7	Ū	J
5.	The county/region has a working	$\binom{1}{1}$	2	3	4	5	6
	coordination team.						
6.	The 2008 Coordination plan has been	(1)	2	3	4	5	6
	implemented.						
7.	Developing the prioritized action plan was	(2)	2	3	4	5	6
0	meaningful and valuable.		•	•		_	•
8.	I feel the coordination process in the county/region will be improved based on		2	3	4	5	6
	the assessment, action plan and						
	implementation strategies.						
	Facilitator Questions		(1)				
9.	Facilitator was knowledgeable about the	1 (2	3	4	5	6
	meeting process.	`					
10.	The information was presented in a clear,	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
	logical format.						
							_
40	The time alletted for the meeting was:	too m	au ob	obout "	iaht	not oncom	
IC). The time allotted for the meeting was:	too m	iucii	about r	igni (not enoug	"

11. List three key points/issues presented during the meeting that were the most valuable or useful.

All

12. List any information or meeting content you felt was omitted or needed further clarification.

need more work

13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan strategies? If yes, indicate your availability.

ges

14. Other comments.

County/Region:	Adams County
Date:	8/22/8013
Facilitator(s):	Darry 1 Kandeau

<u>Instructions</u>: For each item below, please circle the number/response that best expresses your opinion.

		Strongly Agree		Agree		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
	General Meeting Questions			\sim			
1.	The information covered in the group	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
	discussions, examples and explanations was understandable.						
2.	The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human	1	2	3	(4)	5	6
	services transportation coordination.						
3.	Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.	1	2	3	(4)	5	6
4.	The county/region's prioritized action plan	1	2	3	4	(5)	6
	is comprehensive and realistic.						
5.	The county/region has a working	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
_	coordination team.		_			_	
6.	The 2008 Coordination plan has been	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	implemented.	4	2	6	4	_	0
7.	Developing the prioritized action plan was meaningful and valuable.	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
8.	I feel the coordination process in the	1	2	3	4	5	6
	county/region will be improved based on			\mathcal{O}			
	the assessment, action plan and						
	implementation strategies.						
^	Facilitator Questions					_	•
9.	Facilitator was knowledgeable about the	1	2	(3)	4	5	6
10.	meeting process. The information was presented in a clear,	1	2	$\binom{3}{3}$	4	5	6
10.	logical format.	'	2		7	3	O
10). The time allotted for the meeting was:	too n	nuch	about	right	not enoug	h

11. List three key points/issues presented during the meeting that were the most valuable or useful.

tvansportation

- funding

- outreach

12. List any information or meeting content you felt was omitted or needed further clarification.

none

13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan strategies? If yes, indicate your availability. Yes! Some days & Some evenings (early)

14. Other comments.

Lori J. Djumadi - Adams County Board Jori . djumadi @ gmail. com

County/Region:	Adams County
Date:	~3/22/13 , n
Facilitator(s):	Darry Landeall

<u>Instructions</u>: For each item below, please circle the number/response that best expresses your opinion.

		Strongly Agree		Agree		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
	General Meeting Questions						
1.	The information covered in the group discussions, examples and explanations was understandable.	1	2	23	4	5	6
2.	The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human services transportation coordination.	1	2/	3	⁷ 4	5	6
3.	Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.	1	2	3	A	5	6
4.	The county/region's prioritized action plan is comprehensive and realistic.	1	2	>3<	4	5	6
5.	The county/region has a working coordination team.	1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	The 2008 Coordination plan has been implemented.	1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	Developing the prioritized action plan was meaningful and valuable.	1	2	3	X	5	6
8.	I feel the coordination process in the county/region will be improved based on the assessment, action plan and implementation strategies.	1	2	3	4	5	6
9.	Facilitator Questions Facilitator was knowledgeable about the meeting process.	1	2	4	4	5	6
10.	The information was presented in a clear, logical format.	1	2	X	4	5	6
10	D. The time allotted for the meeting was:	too n	nuch	about	right	not enougl	า

- 11. List three key points/issues presented during the meeting that were the most valuable or useful.
- 12. List any information or meeting content you felt was omitted or needed further clarification.
- 13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan strategies? If yes, indicate your availability.
- 14. Other comments.

County/Region:	6	\$₩	mos	
Date:	Š	21	13	
Facilitator(s):		•		

Instructions: For each item below, please circle the number/response that best expresses your opinion.

		Strongly Agree		Agree		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
1.	General Meeting Questions The information covered in the group discussions, examples and explanations	1	2	3	4	5	6
2.	was understandable. The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human		2	3	4	5	6
3.	services transportation coordination. Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.	1	2	3	4	5	6
4.	The county/region's prioritized action plan	1	2	3	4	5	6
5.	is comprehensive and realistic. The county/region has a working coordination team.	1	2	3	4	5	6
6.	The 2008 Coordination plan has been implemented.	1	(2)	3	4	5	6
7.	Developing the prioritized action plan was meaningful and valuable.		2	3	4	5	6
8.	I feel the coordination process in the county/region will be improved based on the assessment, action plan and implementation strategies.	1	2	3	4	5	6
9.	Facilitator Questions Facilitator was knowledgeable about the	1	$\binom{2}{2}$	3	4	5	6
10.	meeting process. The information was presented in a clear, logical format.	1	2	3	4	5	6
10	D. The time allotted for the meeting was:	too r	nuch	about r	ight	not enougl	h
1	1. List three key points/issues presented during						

The most impodent point That I saw who we had County Rd. muerbers who are interested and the other attendess are all are moolived and committed 12. List any information or meeting content you felt was omitted or needed further clarification.

- 13. Are you interested in participating on the team that will implement the coordination plan strategies? If yes, indicate your availability.
- 14. Other comments.

APPENDIX D

Adams County Provider Inventory

		TABLE 1	1 ADAMS COUNTY PROVIDER INVENTORY	ENTORY		
Service Name or Sponsor Name	Service Type	Passenger Eligibility	Service Description	Hours of Service	Fleet Information	Use of Federal/State Funds
Adams County						
ADRC	Specialized	Disabled	Work route and nutrition sites with	M-F 8-4:30	2 -15/1 pass.	5310 / 85.21
		Elderly (60+)	weekly grocery run and medical	Some weekend use	2- 8 pass.	
			transportation	on request	wheelchair	
ADRC	Volunteer-escort	Medical Assistance	Transportation by volunteer drivers for Medicaid qualified medical trips.	24/7 - Dependant on driver availability.	Private vehicles	MA
Adams County Veterans Service	Veterans Van	Veteran	Veterans transportation to Madison or Tomah VA Hospital.		Van	Veterans
Private Medical	Medi-van	Medical Assistance or Private Pay	Private firms w/ wheelchair accessible vans for transport to medical appointments.	vary	N/A	MA
Faith In Action			Various services.	vary	N/A	N/A
Salvation Army			Voucher Program	vary	N/A	N/A

APPENDIX E

Demographic Information





